

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/852,804	KASAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jacqueline F Stephens	3761	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** After Final

 (1) Jacqueline F Stephens, PTO.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Robert Gnuse, applicant's representative.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 April 2004
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**
**Rejection(s) discussed:**

103 rejection of 5, 12, 13 as being unpatentable over Paul USPN 6217890 in view of Hamajima et al. USPN 5865822.

**Claims discussed:**

5, 12, 13

**Prior art documents discussed:**

Paul/Hamajima

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

Examiner indicated claims 1-3 were allowable, however claims 5, 12, 13, and their dependent claims were not allowable in present form. Applicant indicated he would consider revising. Agreement was not reached.

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)